3 HOV-3 100

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

YASUHIDE TACHI et al

Serial No. 024,111

Group: 125

Filed: March 26, 1979 Examiner: Roberts

For: NOVEL HYDROCORTISONE DERIVATIVE

RECEIVED

## RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 14

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D. C. 20231

GROUP 170

Sir:

 $\qquad \qquad \text{The following remarks are in response to the } \\ \text{Examiner's office action dated July 3, 1980.}$ 

The sole remaining rejection, that of claim 1 under 35 USC 103 over Ercoli et al in combination with Elks et al (2), is respectfully traversed. It is submitted that the issue of patentability posed by these references has been reduced to the issue of whether or not the ten-fold superiority in therapeutic effectiveness of applicants' claimed compound over the closest prior art compound, i.e. the 17, 21-diester of hydrocortisone, disclosed by Ercoli et al at col. 4, line 49, would have been expected from a reading of the references. Applicants readily concede that if the superiority would have been expected from a reading of the references then the showing represented by the previously submitted declaration would not serve to overcome the prima facie case of obviousness. However, applicants submit that the ten-fold superiority possessed by their compound which they have proven would not have been expected from a reading of the references of record.

Before proceeding to what the undersigned regards as the real issue of record, a few comments are in order with regard